

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,763	07/08/2003	Robert D. Rehnke	1870 DIV CON	8002
7:	590 08/09/2004		EXAM	INER
Kimberly V. Perry, Esq.			MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H	
U.S. Surgical A Division of Tyco Healthcare Group, LP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
150 Glover Avenue			3738	
Norwalk, CT	06856		DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.4		•				
	~	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/614,763	REHNKE, ROBERT D.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		William H. Matthews (Howie)	3738			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the property of the plant of the property of the propert	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	anuary 2004.		j		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.		ن		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat onty documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO_413)			
2) 🛄 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-14-03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,3,6, and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,055,989. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the current application are broader than those of US PN 6,055,989. Specifically, the current application claims "dissection of the fascial cleft to the anatomical boundaries" and '989 claims dissection to the ligaments which bound the fascial cleft.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/614,763

Art Unit: 3738

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al. US PN 5,655,545 in view of Agris US PN 3,994,301.

Johnson et al. discloses the method of using an inflatable device as set forth in claim 1. See Abstract, lines 51-53 of column 1, lines 1-6,44-65 of column 2, lines 5-10,64-67 of column 3, lines 26-47 of column 5, lines 3-18 of column 6, and Figures 11, 12, 14, and 15. Johnson '545 describes the location for the implant as below the breast tissue and above the pectoralis muscle fascia (lines 37-42 of column 5) and also discloses that the tissue expander can be used anywhere an implant is desired (abstract), but does not clearly disclose whether the implant is below the fascia surrounding breast tissue or only under breast tissue. Agris '301 teaches that it is well known in the art to create a pocket for insertion of an implant in the fascial cleft (see lines 3-19 of column 4) in order to provide an adequate pocket for reception of a prosthesis.

3. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the method disclosed by Johnson '545 to use the tissue expander in the fascial cleft as taught by Agris in order to provide an adequate pocket for reception of a prosthesis.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Matthews (Howie) whose telephone number

Application/Control Number: 10/614,763

Art Unit: 3738

is 703-305-0316. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10-

6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine M. McDermott can be reached on 703-308-2111. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 8, 2004

Page 4

Primary Examiner